Active Optical Cable (AOC) vs. Copper Cable

1. Structural Differences (Fiber Optics vs. Copper)

Component	Fiber Optics Cable	Copper Cable
Core	Central glass/silica core that carries light	Multiple copper wire strands
Layered covering	Cladding → Buffer Coating → Jacket	Wires twisted into Twisted Pairs
Shielding	EM-resistant by nature (light-based)	Pair Shields + Overall Shield (needed against EMI)
Signal medium	Light beams	Electrical signals

2. Performance & Distance Capabilities

Copper (DAC – Direct Attach Copper):

- Works well only over **short distances** — roughly **5 meters** for typical HDMI/ DisplayPort runs, and as little as **2–3 meters** for high-bandwidth data-center links.
- As bandwidth rises, copper's maximum reach **shrinks dramatically**. Passive copper already struggles with emerging **8K content** beyond short runs, and the cable must become bulkier, heavier, and more expensive to compensate.
- Longer copper runs require **active copper extenders**, which are large, complex, sometimes temperamental devices that often need external power.

Active Optical Cable (AOC):

- Built for **long reach** — typically **10 to 100+ meters** without signal loss. In AI and data-center deployments, AOCs routinely span **30–100 meters**.
- Maintains signal integrity at far higher speeds, transferring data at **250 Mbps up to 100 Gbps** — over 2000 times the speed of standard copper cabling.
- Keeps performance consistent regardless of distance, whereas copper degrades with length.

3. Weight, Space & Installation

- An AOC is roughly **one-quarter the weight** of an equivalent copper cable and has a **better bend radius**, making it far easier to route through ceilings, walls, and dense racks.
- AOCs are essentially **"plug-and-play"** — they behave like a simple copper cable but deliver optical reach. No special skills or termination equipment are required for installation.
- This is critical for **space-conscious AV applications** such as control rooms, digital signage, and mass transit, where copper's heavy, stiff, bulky assemblies become impractical.

4. Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) & Reliability

- Because AOCs transmit **light rather than electricity**, they are naturally **immune to electromagnetic interference**. They can run safely alongside other electronic devices without data corruption.
- Copper, by contrast, needs extensive shielding (Pair Shields + Overall Shield, as in your diagram) and is still prone to interference and signal loss in noisy environments.
- Optical cables are also **more durable and reliable** in the long term, being made from rugged glass and plastic, resistant to weather (rain, storms, flooding), and producing roughly **5× fewer faults** over their service life than copper.

5. Cost & Total Cost of Ownership (TCO)

- This is the one area where copper retains an advantage — initially:
- **Upfront cost:** Copper/DAC is always **cheaper per cable** at short distances; AOC is more expensive to procure.
- **Long-term TCO:** AOC wins over time due to **lower maintenance costs, higher reliability, and fewer faults**. The reduced need for replacement and upkeep makes optical cable more **cost-effective in the long run**, despite the higher sticker price.
- At longer distances, copper's cost advantage evaporates anyway — bulkier, power-hungry active copper solutions become as expensive as AOC while delivering less.

6. Emerging Context: AI & Higher Resolutions

The trend is decisive. In **400G data centers**, copper DAC reaches only ~10 feet; at **800G** that drops to ~6 feet. Meanwhile AOCs support **30–100+ meters** for large AI clusters and disaggregated systems. As 8K video and AI workloads become mandatory, **copper is ruled out on specs alone**, whereas AOC scales smoothly.

Feature	Active Optical Cable (AOC):	Copper Cable
Reach	10–100+ m	~2–5 m (shrinks at high speed)
Speed	Up to 100 Gbps	Limited at length
Weight/Flexibility	Thin, ~¼ copper weight	Thick, heavy, stiff
EMI Immunity	Excellent (light-based)	Needs heavy shielding
Installation	Easy, plug-and-play	Complex at distance
Upfront cost	Higher	Cheaper
Long-term TCO	Lower (reliable, low maintenance)	Higher (faults, replacement)

Bottom line: Copper remains sensible for **short, low-cost, fixed connections** (e.g., 4K at short range, rack-to-rack DAC in small data centers). But for **long distances, high bandwidth, EMI-prone environments, and future 8K/AI demands, AOC is the superior — and increasingly the only practical — choice**.

AOC vs. Copper Cable

Active Optical Cable & Traditional Copper — A Side-by-Side Comparison

AOC - Optical Fiber	Copper Cable
Reach: 10–100+ meters	**Reach:** only 2–5 meters
Speed: Up to 100 Gbps	**Speed:** Drops sharply with distance
Light & flexible, about 1/4 copper weight	Thick, heavy, and stiff
Immune to electromagnetic interference	Needs heavy shielding against EMI
Easy plug-and-play installation	Complex extenders for longer runs
Higher upfront cost, lower long-term cost	Cheaper upfront, higher maintenance cost

Key Differences

Distance:
Fiber wins — far longer reach without signal loss

Speed:
Fiber wins — up to 100 Gbps vs copper limits

Total Cost:
Fiber wins over time — fewer faults, less maintenance

Bottom line: Copper suits short, low-cost links. AOC wins for long runs, high bandwidth, and future 8K/AI demands.

www.YDSAOC.com sales@ydsaoc.com Phone (WhatsApp, WeChat): +86-166 0302 9616